

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Spates Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Fl.O. Bol 1450 Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450 vww.uppto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,735	01/18/2002	Robert Sesek	10016958-1	3209	
759	7590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		2164		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/052,735	SESEK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164		

	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	davit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) \( \sum \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>						
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		i be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4 and 6-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	i condition for allowar	nce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO <del>/SB/</del> 98 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)				
13. Other:	tol	CPP				
MOHAMMAD PRIMARY EXAI		Sathyanarayan Par Patent Examiner	nnala			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With reference to request for reconsideration, see the Final Office Action for rejection of claims 22-26 under U.S.C. 101. Merely adding a word will not make a claim always to over come the rejection. The rejection stated as "It is not possible to consider one computer-readable medium out of three categories listed as: storage medium such as RAM, ROM..., transmission medium such as electromagnetic, infrared, propagation medium... or medium such as paper."

Regrading claim 22 rejection under 35 USC 112 first paragraph, applicant amended the claim without looking into the specification, because the word tangible is not existing in the specification.

Regarding the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 103 still holds good. For Example, claim 1 limitation, Baker teaches the claimed step of "determining whether a user sent a copy of a document, created by an application other than an email as an email attachment to a first set of at least one recipient via email" as the dual icon display indicates that the mail was created without any special authoring/reading component and has an attachment created by some other program (application) (Fig. 2a, col. 7, lines 36-39).